## Digital Signal Processing Fundamentals with Hands-on Experiments

by

Andreas Spanias, Ph.D.

June 24, 2009 School of Electrical, Computer and Energy Engineering Arizona State University Tempe, AZ 85287-5706 480 965 1837 480 965 8325

> DSP Primer – June 24, 2009 Sponsored in part by NSF 0817596

> > Copyright 2009 ©Andreas Spanias

2009

2009

I-1

#### Disclaimer

These course notes cover the fundamentals and select applications of Digital Signal Processing and are intended solely for education. No other use is intended or authorized. No warranty or implied warranty is given that any of the material is fit for a particular purpose, application, or product. Although the author believes that the concepts, algorithms, software, and data presented are accurate, he provides no guarantee or implied guarantee that they are free of error. The material presented should not be used without extensive verification. If you do not wish to be bound by the above then please do not use these notes.

Copyright 2009 ©Andreas Spanias

2009

I-2



Copyright 2009 ©Andreas Spanias

Digital Signal Processing (DSP) is a branch of signal processing that emerged from the rapid development of VLSI technology that made feasible real time digital computation.
DSP involves time and amplitude quantization of signals and relies on the theory of discrete time signals and systems.
DSP emerged as a field in the 1960s.
Early applications of off line DSP include seismic data analysis, voice processing research.

**Digital Signal Processing (DSP) Introduction** 

2009

I-3

Copyright 2009 ©Andreas Spanias





I-8

**DSP Historical Perspective (2) DSP** Applications Military Applications (target tracking, radar, sonar, secure communications, sensors, imagery) First Generation DSP Chips (Intel microcontroler, TI, AT&T, Telecommunications (cellular, channel equalization, vocoders, Motorola, Analog Devices (early 1980s) software radioetc) Low-cost DSPs (late 1980s) • PC and Multimedia Applications (audio/video on demand, streaming data applications, voice synthesis/recognition) • Vocoder Standards for civilian applications (late 1980s) Entertainment (digital audio/video compression, MPEG, CD, MD, DVD, MP3) Migration of DSP technologies in general purpose CPU/Controllers "native" DSP (1990s) Automotive (Active noise cancellation, hands-free communications, navigation-GPS, IVHS) · High Complexity Rich Media Applications Manufacturing, instrumentation, biomedical, oil exploration, robotics . · Low Power (Portable) Applications • Remote sensing, security Copyright 2009 ©Andreas Spanias I-7 2009 Copyright 2009 ©Andreas Spanias 2009











## Simplest Quantization Scheme -Uniform PCM

Performance in terms of Signal to Noise Ratio (SNR)

$$SNR_{PCM} = 6.02R_b + K_1$$

Copyright 2009 ©Andreas Spanias

where  $R_b$  is the number of bits and the value of  $K_1$  depends on signal statistics. For telephone speech  $K_1 = -10$ 

2009

I-14















































Example:	Audio - Bandwidth
200 - 3200 Hz	Basic Telephone Speech Intelligible Preserves Speaker Identity
50 - 7000 Hz	Wideband Speech AM-grade audio
50 - 15000 Hz	Near High Fidelity FM-grade Audio
20 - 20000 Hz	High-Fidelity CD/DAT Quality Voice
Соруг	ight 2009 ©Andreas Spanias

Format	Bandwidth	Sampling frequency
Telephony	3.2 kHz	8 kHz
Wideband audio	7 kHz	16 kHz
High-fidelity, CD	20 kHz	44.1 kHz
Digital audio tape (DAT)	20 kHz	48 kHz
Super audio CD (SACD)	100 kHz	2.8224 MHz
DVD audio (DVD-A)	96 kHz	192 kHz



















Jan. 2009 Copyright 2009 ©Andreas Spanias I<del>I</del> 2

## **Some Definitions** •A digital filter is linear if it has the property of generalized superposition •A digital filter is causal if it non anticipatory, i.e., the present output does not depend on future inputs. •All real-time systems are causal. · Non-causalities arise in image processing where the signal indexes are spatial instead of temporal.

· Unless otherwise stated all systems in this course will be assumed causal. Jan. 2009 Copyright 2009 ©Andreas Spanias

II 3























## Infinite Length Impulse Response (IIR)

If the digital filter has feedback terms then the impulse response is infinite length

$$h(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{L} b_i \delta(n-i) - \sum_{i=1}^{M} a_i h(n-i)$$
  
Example:  $h(n) = \delta(n) - a_1 h(n-1)$   
 $h(0) = 1$   $h(1) = -a_1$   $h(2) = a_1^2$  ::  $h(n) = (-a_1)$   
Remark: Note that if the coefficient  $a_i$  has magnitude larger than  
one the impulse response will go to infinity and hence the filter  
would be unstable.

Copyright 2009 ©Andreas Spanias

II B

Jan. 2009







**Impulse Response and Stability** For the causal digital filter  $y(n) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} h(m) x(n-m)$ Bounded Input Bounded Output (BIBO) stability is defined as  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |h(k)| < \infty$ The condition above is guaranteed if



$$|p_i| < 1$$
 for all  $i = 1, 2, ..., M$   
Jan. 2009 Copyright 2009 CAndreas Spanias

11 B



#### Steady Sate Sinusoidal Response of Digital Filters

A special case of interest is the steady-state response to input sinusoids and is formulated as follows. For the IIR filter

$$y(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{L} b_i x(n-i) - \sum_{i=1}^{M} a_i y(n-i)$$

The frequency response function is given by:

$$H(e^{j\Omega}) = \frac{b_0 + b_1 e^{-j\Omega} + b_2 e^{-j2\Omega} + \dots + b_L e^{-jL\Omega}}{1 + a_1 e^{-j\Omega} + a_2 e^{-j2\Omega} + \dots + a_M e^{-jM\Omega}}$$
Jan. 2009 Copyright 2009 CAndreas Spanias II 2

## Steady Sate Sinusoidal Response of Linear Discrete Systems (Cont.) The frequency response function is periodic and an example of the steady state sinusoidal response is given below if: $x(n) = \sin(n\Omega)$ then: $y^{ss}(n) = |H(e^{j\Omega})| \sin(\Omega n + \angle H(e^{j\Omega}))$ $\Omega = \frac{2\pi f}{f_s}$ ; normalized frequency Jan. 2009 Copyright 2009 CAndreas Spanias II 3

Example of Steady State Sinusoidal Response  

$$\begin{array}{c}
x(n) & \xrightarrow{(0,2)} + \underbrace{(2,1)} \\
& \underbrace{(0,2)} \\
&$$







Given the signal: $x(n)$
its Z-transform is $X(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x(n) z^{-n}$
For causal signals, i.e., $x(n) = 0$ for $n < 0$
$X(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x(n) z^{-n}$
Jan. 2009 Copyright 2009 ©Andreas Spanias III-4





Select	ed Z Transform Pairs
<u>Unit-Impulse:</u>	$\delta(n) \leftrightarrow 1$
$\underline{Sinusoids:}  \{\sin(\Omega n), n$	$\geq 0 \} \leftrightarrow \left\{ \frac{z^{-1} \sin(\Omega)}{1 - 2z^{-1} \cos(\Omega) + z^{-2}},  z  > 1 \right\}$
$\{\cos(\Omega n), n\}$	$\geq 0 \} \leftrightarrow \left\{ \frac{1 - z^{-1} \cos(\Omega)}{1 - 2 z^{-1} \cos(\Omega) + z^{-2}},  z  > 1 \right\}$
Sampled Unit-Step:	$\{1, n \ge 0\} \leftrightarrow \left\{\frac{1}{1-z^{-1}},  z  > 1\right\}$
Exponential Signals:	$\{a^n, n \ge 0\} \leftrightarrow \left\{\frac{z}{z-a},  z  >  a \right\}$
Jan. 2009 C	opyright 2009 ©Andreas Spanias III-7





The Transfer Function and the Impulse Response  
Convolution maps to multiplications in the z domain  

$$y(n) = x(n) * h(n) \iff Y(z) = X(z)H(z)$$
  
It can be shown that a transfer function  $H(z)$  is related to the  
impulse response sequence  $h(n)$  by:  
 $H(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h(n)z^{-n}$   
or  
 $h(n) \iff H(z)$   
Jan. 2009 Copyright 2009 CAndreas Spanias III-10









## Poles and Zeros of H(z)

In general the transfer function is rational; it has a numerator and a denominator polynomial.

The roots of the numerator and denominator polynomials are called the zeros and the poles respectively.

Pole-zero decompositions of H(z) are quite useful and provide intuition in signal analysis and filter design.

$$H(z) = G \frac{(z - \zeta_1)(z - \zeta_2)...(z - \zeta_L)}{(z - p_1)(z - p_2)...(z - p_M)} = G \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{L} (z - \zeta_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^{M} (z - p_i)}$$
  
where G is a gain factor  
Jan. 2009 Copyright 2009 Condreas Spanias III-15







The Frequency Response Function The transfer function is  $H(z) = \frac{b_0 + b_1 z^{-1} + \dots + b_L z^{-L}}{1 + a_1 z^{-1} + \dots + a_M z^{-M}}$ by evaluating on the unit circle, i.e. for  $z = e^{j\Omega}$   $H(e^{j\Omega}) = \frac{b_0 + b_1 e^{-j\Omega} + \dots + b_L e^{-jL\Omega}}{1 + a_1 e^{-j\Omega} + \dots + a_M e^{-jM\Omega}}$ Jan 209 Let  $M(z) = \frac{b_0 + b_1 e^{-j\Omega} + \dots + b_L e^{-jM\Omega}}{1 + a_1 e^{-j\Omega} + \dots + a_M e^{-jM\Omega}}$ 







#### Remarks on Effects of Poles and Zeros on H(ej<sup>Ω</sup>)

·Poles tend to create peaks in the magnitude frequency response

•Zeros tend to create valleys in the magnitude frequency response

•Very selective filters are designed efficiently by placing poles close to the unit circle

•Sharp notches are achieved efficiently with zeros very close to the unit circle

•A sharp notch in the frequency response needs many poles (high order) if we are restricted to an all-pole filter (not efficient).

•A sharp peak in the frequency response needs many zeros (long or high order FIR) if an all-zero filter is to be used (not efficient). Copyright 2009 ©Andreas Spanias

III-23

Jan. 2009

**Magnitude and Phase Response** The magnitude and phase response of Im Χ 0 Re \$ 1.0 0 Χ 2 0-zeros X-polesCopyright 2009 ©Andreas Spanias Jan. 2009 III-24





























# **Interesting Transfer Functions Digital Oscillators** If we realize the z-transform of a sinusoid as a transfer function and we excite it with a unit impulse we get a sinusoidal output $\left\{\sin(\Omega n), n \ge 0\right\} \leftrightarrow \left\{\frac{z^{-1}\sin(\Omega)}{1 - 2z^{-1}\cos(\Omega) + z^{-2}}, |z| > 1\right\}$ If we excite H(z) below $H(z) = \frac{z^{-1}\sin(\Omega)}{1 - 2z^{-1}\cos(\Omega) + z^{-2}}$

Copyright 2009 ©Andreas Spanias

with  $\delta(n)$  then the output will be a sinusoid

Jan. 2009

**Interesting Transfer Functions Digital Oscillators (2)** Note that H(z) has its poles on the unit circle  $H(z) = \frac{z^{-1}\sin(\Omega)}{1 - 2z^{-1}\cos(\Omega) + z^{-2}} = \frac{z\sin(\Omega)}{(z - e^{j\Omega})(z - e^{-j\Omega})}$ Ω Jan. 2009 Copyright 2009 ©Andreas Spanias III-40

III-39

















#### **GROUP DELAY**

The time delay or group delay of a filter is defined as

$$\tau = -\frac{d\Phi\left(\Omega\right)}{d\Omega}$$

therefore if  $\Phi$  ( $\Omega$ ) is a linear function of  $\Omega$  then  $\tau$  is a constant.

**τ** is given in terms of samples

Jan. 2009 Copyright ©2009 Andreas Spanias

#### LINEAR PHASE AND IMPULSE RESPONSE SYMMETRIES

It can be shown that linear phase is achieved if

h(n) = h(L - n)

where h(n) is the impulse response of the filter. For L = odd

$$H(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\frac{L-1}{2}} h(n)(z^{-n} + z^{-(L-n)})$$
$$H(e^{j\Omega}) = e^{-j\frac{\Omega L}{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\frac{L-1}{2}} 2h(n) \cos\left(\Omega\left(\frac{L}{2} - n\right)\right)$$
Jan. 2009 Copyright ©2009 Andreas Spanias W 7

#### SYMMETRIC AND ANTI-SYMMETRIC LINEAR PHASE FILTERS

Two Anti-symmetries for L=even or L=odd for

$$h(n) = -h(L - n)$$

Two Symmetries for L=even or L=odd for

$$h(n) = h(L-n)$$

Copyright ©2009 Andreas Spanias

Jan. 2009

IV 8

IV 6





























#### DESIGN USING THE KAISER WINDOW

The Kaiser window is parametric and its bandwidth as well as its sidelobe energy can be designed. Mainlobe bandwidth controls the transition characteristics and sidelobe energy affects the ripple characteristics.

$$w(n) = \frac{I_0 \left(\beta \left[1 - \left(\frac{n-\alpha}{\alpha}\right)^2\right]^{1/2}\right)}{I_0(\beta)}, 0 \le n \le L-1$$

 $\alpha = L/2$ ; associated with the order of the filter

 $\beta\,$  is a design parameter that controls the shape of the window

 $I_0(.)$  is a zeroth order modified Bessel function of the first kind

Jan. 2009 Copyright ©2009 Andreas Spanias IV 24





KAISER WINDOW DESIGN EQUATIONS
Given $f_{p}$ , $f_{s}$ , T and dp, ds determine the FIR filter coefficients.
$\delta = \min( ds , dp )$ $A = -20 \log_{10} \delta$ $\Delta \Omega = 2 \pi (f_s - f_p) T$
The filter order is $L = \frac{A - 8}{2.285 \Delta \Omega} (\pm 2)$
and the kaiser parameter $\beta$ is given by
$\beta = \begin{cases} 0.1102 \ (A - 8.7), A > 50 \\ 0.5842 \ (A - 21)^{0.4} + 0.07886 \ (A - 21), 21 \le A \le 50 \\ 0, A < 21 \end{cases} $
Jan. 2009 Copyright ©2009 Andreas Spanias IV 27









#### Min-Max and Parks-McClellan Optimum FIR Design

The Parks-McClellan design is based on Min-Max

Equiripple and linear phase design is possible

This class of methods involve minimizing the maximum error between the designed FIR filter frequency response and a prototype

$$\min_{\{h(i),i=0,1,\dots,L\}} \left\{ \max \left| E\left(e^{j\Omega}\right) \right| \right\}$$
where

$$E(e^{j\Omega}) = W(e^{j\Omega})(H_d(e^{j\Omega}) - H(e^{j\Omega}))$$
Jan. 2009 Copyright ©2009 Andreas Spanias IV 2













































	Butterworth Transfer Function
	$H(s)H(-s) = \frac{1}{1 + (\frac{s}{j\omega_c})^{2M}}$ $(\frac{s}{j\omega_c})^{2M} = -1$ $s_k = (-1)^{1/2M} j\omega_c = \omega_c \ e^{\frac{j\pi(2k+M-1)}{2M}}$
	k = 0, 1,, 2M - 1
Jan. 2009	ncle that tools 280,094 fell on ingginary axis V-19



## Examples of IIR Filter Design Using MATLAB

#### FUNCTIONS IN THE SP TOOLBOX

IIR digital filter design.	
butter - Butterworth filter design.	
cheby1 - Chebyshev type I filter design.	
cheby2 - Chebyshev type II filter design.	
ellip - Elliptic filter design.	
maxflat - Generalized Butterworth lowpass filter design.	
yulewalk - Yule-Walker filter design.	
IIR filter order selection. buttord - Butterworth filter order selection. cheb1ord - Chebyshev type I filter order selection. cheb2ord - Chebyshev type II filter order selection. ellipord - Elliptic filter order selection.	
Jan. 2009 Copyright © 2009 Andreas Spanias	V-21

## Butterworth Design in MATLAB



#### **Butterworth Design in MATLAB (2)**

Wp=0.4; %passband edge
Ws=0.6; %stopband edge
Rp=1; % max dB deviation in passband
Rs=40; %min dB rejection in stopband
b = 0.0021 0.0186 0.0745 0.1739 0.2609 0.2609 0.1739
0.0745 0.0186 0.0021
a = 1.0000 -1.0893 1.6925 -1.0804 0.7329 -0.2722 0.0916
-0.0174 0.0024 -0.0001

Butterworth Design in MATLAB (3)

Jan. 2009

Copyright © 2009 Andreas Spanias

V-23





MATLAE	B Elliptic Design Exam	ple
<pre>% Design an IIR Elliptic fil clear N=256; %for the computation</pre>	ter of N discrete frequencies	
Wp=0.4; %passband edge Ws=0.6; %stopband edge Rp=2; % max dB deviation in   Rs=60; %min dB rejection in ; (M,%n) = ellipord(Wp,Ws,Rp,R (b,a] = ellip(M,Rp,Rs,Wn); % size(a) size(b)	pasaband stopband gj; design filter	
<pre>thetacl(2*pi/N).*(0:(N/2)-2) Hisfregr(b.a, theta); % comput- Hisfregr(b.a, theta); % comput- plot(A) Hisford(A); % plot tille('frequency remponse') viabel('discrete frequency in yiabel('asgnitude (dB)') pause aplane(b,a); % z plane plot</pre>	<pre>], % precompute the set of discrete frequenci e the frequency response the magnitude of the frequency response ndex (N is the sampling freq.)')</pre>	es up to fs/2
Jan. 2009	Copyright © 2009 Andreas Spanias	V-27















FIR	IIR
Always stable	Not always stable
Transversal	Recursive
All-zero model	All-pole or Pole-zero model
Moving Average(MA) model	Autoregressive(AR) or
	Autoregressive Moving
	Average (ARMA) model
Inefficient for spectral peaks	Efficient for spectral peaks (a
	pole, pole-zero)
Efficient for spectral notches	All pole inefficient for spectra
	notches

FIR	IIR
Requires high order design Less sensitive to finite word length implementation Linear phase design	Pole-zero efficient for both notches and peaks Generally requires lower order design More sensitive to finite word length implementation Generally non-linear phase

















The Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT)  

$$X(k) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x(n)e^{-j2\pi kn/N} \text{ and } k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$$
The inverse Discrete Fourier Transform (IDFT) of the sequence  $x(n)$   

$$x(n) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} X(k)e^{j2\pi kn/N} \text{ and } n = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$$
The DFT transform pair is denoted by  

$$\begin{cases} x(n) \\ \leftrightarrow \\ X(k) \end{cases} \leftrightarrow \begin{cases} X(k) \\ \leftrightarrow \\ X(k) \end{cases}$$
Jan. 2009 Copyright (c) 2009 Andreas Spanias VII-5

	The DFT	Matrix	
The DFT and the	IDFT may be exp	ressed in terms	of matrices, i.e.,
$\begin{bmatrix} X(0) \\ X(1) \\ X(2) \\ \vdots \\ X(N-1) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \zeta^{-1} & \zeta^{-2} \\ 1 & \zeta^{-2} & \zeta^{-4} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 1 & \zeta^{-(N-1)} & \zeta^{-2(N-1)} \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{array}{cccc} \dots & I \\ \dots & \zeta^{-(N-I)} \\ \dots & \zeta^{-2(N-I)} \\ \dots & \ddots \\ \dots & \ddots \\ \dots & \ddots \\ \dots & \zeta^{-(N-I)^2} \end{array}$	$\begin{bmatrix} x(0) \\ x(1) \\ x(2) \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ x(N-I) \end{bmatrix}$
where $\zeta^{-k} = e$	$-j2\pi k/N$ and	$\underline{F}^{-1}$	$=\frac{1}{N}\underline{F}^{H}$
a more compact form Jan. 2009	$X_{\text{Copyright (c) 2009 And}}$	and reas Spanias	$\underline{x} = \underline{F}_{v_{\text{NI-6}}}^{-1} \underline{X}$



Selected Properties of the DFT
<u>Linearity:</u> $\{\alpha x(n) + \beta y(n)\} \leftrightarrow \{\alpha X(k) + \beta Y(k)\}$
<u>Shifting:</u> $\{x(n-m) \mod N\} \leftrightarrow e^{-j2 \prod km/N} \{X(k)\}$
<u>Circular Convolution:</u> $x(n) \otimes h(n) \leftrightarrow X(k)H(k)$
where $x(n) \otimes h(n) = \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} h(m) x((n-m)_{mod N})$
<u>Freq. Circular Convolution:</u> $x(n)w(n) \leftrightarrow \frac{1}{N}X(k) \otimes W(k)$
$\underline{\operatorname{Parseval's Theorem:}}_{\operatorname{Jan. 2009}} \qquad \sum_{\substack{n=0\\ \operatorname{Copyright (c) 2009 Andreas Spanias}}}^{N-1} \left  X\left(n\right) \right ^{2} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \left  X\left(k\right) \right ^{2}$

#### Frequency resolution of the DFT

The frequency resolution of the N-point DFT is

$$f_r = \frac{f_s}{N}$$

•The DFT can resolve exactly only the frequencies falling exactly at: k fs/N. There is spectral leakage for components falling between the DFT bins

•Typically we use an FFT that is as large as we can afford

•Zero-padding is often use to provide more resolution in the frequency components

•Zero padding is often combined with tapered windows Jan. 2009 Copyright (c) 2009 Andreas Spanias VII-9



Frequency domain representations are appropriately defined by the Fourier Transform integrals over an infinite time span.

The DFT, however, estimates the spectrum over finite time

The DFT essentially applies a window to truncate the data.

The simplest data window is the rectangular (boxcar).

Truncation in time is convolution in frequency

The frequency domain characteristics of the data window, namely its bandwidth and sidelobes, affect the DFT spectral estimate.

VII-10

Jan. 2009 Copyright (c) 2009 Andreas Spanias

#### WINDOWS

The spectral characteristics of the window affect the spectral estimates. The rectangular window has the narrowest mainlobe width but the wordt sidelobes. Tapered windows have wider mainlobe width but better behaved bandwidth.

	N-point Window	Mainlobe width	Sidelobe Level
	Rectangular	4π/(N+1)	-13 dB
	Triangular	8 π /N	- 25 dB
	Hamming	8 π /N	- 41 dB
	Hanning	8 π /N	- 31 dB
	Blackman	12 π /N	- 57 dB
Jan. 2009	Сору	right (c) 2009 Andreas Spar	nias















The FFT decimates the sequence and performs a DFT by processing results of smaller size DFTs. This is done by decomposing the N-point DFT to 2point DFTs and using "butterfly" operations to obtain the result. For a Decimation in Time (DIT) FFT algorithm the following steps are taken:

$$X(k) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x(n) e^{-j2 \pi nk/N}$$

By decimating x(n) we can write

$$X(k) = \sum_{n=0}^{(N/2)-1} x(2n)e^{-j2\pi 2nk/N} + \sum_{n=0}^{(N/2)-1} x(2n+1)e^{-j2\pi (2n+1)k/N}$$
Jan. 2009 Copyright (c) 2009 Andreas Spanias VII-18

The FFT-DIT Algorithm (Cont.)					
if we define $x_1(n) = x(2n)$ $x_2(n) = x(2n+1)$ $W_N^{nk} = e^{-j2\pi nk/N}$					
$X_{1}(k) = \sum_{n=0}^{(N/2)^{-1}} x_{1}(n) W_{N/2}^{nk} \qquad X_{2}(k) = \sum_{n=0}^{(N/2)^{-1}} x_{2}(n) W_{N/2}^{nk}$					
and					
$X(k) = X_1(k) + W_N^k X_2(k), \qquad k = 0, 1,, N/2 - 1$					
$X(k+N/2) = X_1(k) - W_N^k X_2(k)$					
Remarks: The N-point DFT is broken down to two N/2-point DFTs. We then write the					
N/2-point DFTs as a combination of two N/4-point DFTs and so forth.					
Jan. 2009 Copyright (c) 2009 Andreas Spanias VII-19					







### FFT ALGORITHMS

IN FFT DECIMATION-IN-TIME -the frequency-domain (output) indices are in place while the time-domain (input) indices are bit-reversed

IN FFT DECIMATION-IN-FREQUENCY -the time-domain indices are in place while the frequency-domain indices are hit-reversed

VARIANTS OF FFT ALGORITHMS: Low-Complexity "Prunned" FFTs - For computing fewer frequency bins - when time-domain values are systematically zero (ex: zero padded FFTs)

Radix 4 and Mixed-radix FFTs, Gortzel Algorithm (computes only one frq. bin), Rader, Prime Factor, Winograd, Zoom FFTs

Reference: E. Brigham, "The FFT and its Applications," Prentice Hall, NJ 1988 Links on FFT: http://www.fftw.org/links.html FFT laboratory: http://sepwww.stanford.edu/oldsep/hale/FftLab.html Copyright (c) 2009 Andreas Spanias Jan. 2009

VII-23



















Orthogonal Fre	quency Division Multiplexing (OFD	M) and FFTs		
s(n) S/P $z(n)$ P/S	$ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{x}(n) \\ N \end{array}  \text{IFFT} \\ N \end{array}  \begin{array}{c} N \\ R^{(n)} \\ N \end{array}  \begin{array}{c} N \\ R^{(n)} \\ N \end{array}  \begin{array}{c} N \\ R^{(n)} \\ R^{(n)} \\ N \end{array}  \begin{array}{c} N \\ R^{(n)} \\ R^{(n)} \\ P \end{array}  \begin{array}{c} N \\ R^{(n)} \\ R^{(n)} \\ P \end{array}  \begin{array}{c} N \\ R^{(n)} \\ R^{(n)}$	w(n)		
$z_k(n) = H(2\pi k / N) s_k(n) + w_k(n)  k = 1,, N$ $\mathbf{z}(n) = \mathbf{D}_{H} s(n) + \mathbf{v}(n)$				
Jan. 2009	Copyright (c) 2009 Andreas Spanias	VII-33		